FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

Meadowood Project GPA04-002; SP04-001; R04-004; TM5354; S04-005, S04-006, S04-007; P08-023 and Log No. ER 04-02-004 SCH #2004051028

Lead Agency:

County of San Diego Department of Planning and Land Use 5201 Ruffin Road, Suite B San Diego, CA 92123

EIR Preparer:

RECON Environmental, Inc. 1927 Fifth Avenue, CA 92101 Phone: (619) 308-9333

Project Proponent:

Pardee Homes

July 29, 2011



TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST (OF ACE	RONYMS	xiii
EXEC	UTIVE	SUMMARY	S-1
CHAP	TFR 1.	0—PROJECT DESCRIPTION, LOCATION, AND	
0 1.7.1		ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING	1-1
1.1		ct Objectives	1-1
1.2		ct Description Overview	1-1
		Project Component Parts	1-2
		Technical, Economic, and Environmental Characteristics	1-17
1.3	<u>Proje</u>	ct Location	1-18
1.4	<u>Envir</u>	onmental Setting	1-19
	1.4.1	Regional Context	1-19
	1.4.2	On-site Characteristics	1-20
1.5	<u>Intend</u>	ded Uses of the EIR	1-21
	1.5.1	Matrix of Project Approvals	1-22
	1.5.2	Related Environmental Review and Consultation Requirements	1-23
1.6	<u>Incon</u>	sistencies of Project with Applicable Regional and General Plar	<u>าร</u> 1-23
1.7	List o	f Past, Present, and Reasonably Anticipated Future Projects	
	<u>in tł</u>	ne Project Area	1-23
1.8	Grow	th Inducing Effects	1-24
	1.8.1	Growth Inducement due to General Plan Amendment	
		(Increases in Density)	1-25
	1.8.2	Growth Inducement due to Construction of Additional	
		Housing	1-26
	1.8.3	Growth Inducement due to Construction/Improvement of	
		Roadways	1-27
	1.8.4	Growth Inducement due to Extension of Public Facilities	1-28
	1.8.5	Growth Inducement due to Provision of New Parks and Schools	1-29
	1.8.6	Conclusion	1-29
СНАР	TER 2.	0—SIGNIFICANT ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS WHICH	
		CANNOT BE AVOIDED IF THE PROPOSED PROJECT	
		IS IMPLEMENTED	2.1-1
2.1	Aesth		2.1-1
	2.1.1	Existing Conditions	2.1-1
		Guidelines for the Determination of Significance	2.1-7
	2.1.3	,	
		as to Significance	2.1-8

	2.1.4	Cumulative Impact Analysis	2.1-17
	2.1.5	Mitigation Measures Proposed to Minimize Significant	
		Effects	2.1-19
	2.1.6	Conclusion	2.1-19
2.2	<u>Air Q</u>	uality (including Global Climate Change)	2.2-1
	2.2.1	Existing Conditions	2.2-1
	2.2.2	Guidelines for the Determination of Significance	2.2-11
	2.2.3	Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to	
		Significance	2.2-14
	2.2.4	Cumulative Impact Analysis	2.2-26
	2.2.5	Mitigation Measures Proposed to Minimize Significant	
		Effects	2.2-27
	2.2.6	Conclusion	2.2-28
2.3	Trans	sportation/Traffic	2.3-1
	2.3.1	Existing Conditions	2.3-1
	2.3.2	Guidelines for the Determination of Significance	2.3-4
	2.3.3	Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to	
		Significance	2.3-5
	2.3.4	Cumulative Impact Analysis	2.3-8
	2.3.5	Mitigation Measures Proposed to Minimize Significant	
		Effects	2.3-13
	2.3.6	Conclusion	2.3-13
СНА	PTER 3.	.0—SIGNIFICANT ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS OF THE	
		PROPOSED PROJECT WHICH CAN BE MITIGATED	3.1-1
3.1	Biolo	gical Resources	3.1-1
	3.1.1	Existing Conditions	3.1-1
	3.1.2	Guidelines for the Determination of Significance	3.1-15
	3.1.3	Analysis of Project Effects and Determination	
		as to Significance	3.1-17
	3.1.4	Cumulative Impact Analysis	3.1-35
	3.1.5	Mitigation Measures Proposed to Minimize Significant	
		Effects	3.1-38
	3.1.6	Conclusion	3.1-50
3.2	<u>Agric</u>	ulture Resources	3.2-1
	3.2.1	Existing Conditions	3.2-1
	3.2.2	Guidelines for the Determination of Significance	3.2-5
	3.2.3	Analysis of Project Effects and Determination	
		as to Significance	3.2-5
	3.2.4	Cumulative Impact Analysis	3.2-11
		Mitigation Measures Proposed to Minimize the Significant	
		Effects	3 2-12

	3.2.6	Conclusion	3.2-13
3. 3 2	Geolo	gy and Soils	3.2-1
		Existing Conditions	3.2-1
		Guidelines for the Determination of Significance	3.2-2
	3. 3 2.3	Analysis of Project Effects and Determination	
•		as to Significance	3.2-3
	3. 3 2.4	Cumulative Impact Analysis	3.2-5
	3. 3 2.5	Mitigation Measures Proposed to Minimize Significant	
•		Effects	3.2-5
	3. 3 2.6	Conclusion	3.2-6
3.43	Cultur	ral Resources	3.3-1
	3.43.1	Existing Conditions	3.3-1
	3.43.2	Guidelines for the Determination of Significance	3.3-5
	3.43.3	Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to	
		Significance	3.3-5
	3.43.4	Cumulative Impact Analysis	3.3-8
	3.43.5	Mitigation Measures Proposed to Minimize Significant	
		Effects	3.3-9
	3.43.6	Conclusion	3.3-11
3. 5 4	<u>Noise</u>	<u>-</u>	3.4-1
	3. 5 4.1	Existing Conditions	3.4-1
	3. 5 4.2	Guidelines for the Determination of Significance	3.4-3
	3. 5 4.3	Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to	
		Significance	3.4-4
	3. 5 4.4	Cumulative Impact Analysis	3.4-9
	3. 5 4.5	Mitigation Measures Proposed to Minimize Significant	
		Effects	3.4-10
	3. 5 4.6	Conclusion	3.4-11
3. 6 5	<u>Hazar</u>	ds/Hazardous Materials	3.5-1
	3. 6 5.1	Existing Conditions	3.5-1
	3. 6 5.2	Guidelines for the Determination of Significance	3.5-6
	3. 6 5.3	Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to	
		Significance	3.5-8
	3. 6 5.4	Cumulative Impact Analysis	3.5-16
	3. 6 5.5	Mitigation Measures Proposed to Minimize Significant	
		Effects	3.5-17
	3. 6 5.6	Conclusion	3.5-18

CHA	PTER 4.	.0— ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS FOUND NOT TO BE SIGNIFICANT	4-1		
4.4					
4.1	_	Use and Planning	4-1		
	4.1.1	Existing Conditions	4-1		
		Existing Land Use Regulations	4-1		
		3	4-4		
		Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to Significance	4-4		
	4.1.5	Cumulative Impact Analysis	4-9		
4.0	_	Conclusion	4-10 4-15		
4.2	Hydrology and Water Quality				
		Existing Regulations	4-15		
		<u> </u>	4-16		
		Guidelines for the Determination of Significance	4-17		
		Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to Significance	4-18		
	4.2.5	Cumulative Impact Analysis	4-23		
	4.2.6	Conclusion	4-24		
4.3		<u>c Services</u>	4-27		
		Existing Conditions/Regulations	4-27		
		Guidelines for the Determination of Significance	4-29		
	4.3.3	, ,	4-30		
	4.3.4	Cumulative Impact Analysis	4-32		
	4.3.5	Conclusion	4-32		
4.4		<u>lation and Housing</u>	4-37		
4.5		al Resources	4-38		
		Existing Conditions/Regulations	4-38		
	4.5.2	3	4-40		
	4.5.3	Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to Significance	4-41		
			4-42		
4.6	<u>Utiliti</u>	<u>es</u>	4-42		
	4.6.1	Existing Conditions	4-47		
	4.6.2	Existing Regulations	4-47		
	4.6.3	Guidelines for the Determination of Significance	4-57		
	4.6.4	Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to Significance	4-57		
	4.6.5	Cumulative Impact Analysis	4-64		
	4.6.6	Conclusion	4-65		
4.7	Agricultural Resources				
	<u>4.7.1</u>	Existing Conditions	4-73		
	4.7.2	Guidelines for the Determination of Significance	4-77		
	4.7.3	Analysis of Project Effects and Determination as to Significance	4-78		
	4.7.4	Cumulative Impact Analysis	4-83		
	4.7.5	Conclusion	4-84		
/ Q	Effect	ts Found Not Significant During Initial Study	1-01		

CHA	PTER 5.	.0—ALTERNATIVES TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT	5-1
5.1	Ratio	nale for Alternative Selection	5-1
5.2	<u>Analy</u>	sis of the No Project (No Development) Alternative	5-5
	5.2.1	Description and Setting	5-5
	5.2.2	Comparison of the Effects of the No Project (No Development)	
		Alternative to the Proposed Project	5-5
	5.2.3	Conclusion	5-7
5.3	Analy	rsis of the No Project (Development Consistent with	
	Adop	ted General Plan) Alternative	5-8
	5.3.1	Description and Setting	5-8
	5.3.2	Comparison of the Effects of the No Project (Development	
		Consistent with Adopted General Plan) Alternative to the	
		Proposed Project	5-8
	5.3.3	Conclusion	5-10
5.4	<u>Analy</u>	rsis of the Groundwater Dependent (Consistent with the	
	Grou	ndwater Ordinance) Alternative	5-10
	5.4.1	Description and Setting	5-10
	5.4.2	Comparison of the Effects of the Groundwater Dependent (Consist	ent
		with the Groundwater Ordinance) Alternative	
		to the Proposed Project	5-11
	5.4.3	Conclusion	5-13
5.5	<u>Analy</u>	sis of the Reduced Grading Alternative	5-13
	5.5.1	Description and Setting	5-13
	5.5.2	Comparison of the Effects of the Reduced Grading	
		Alternative to the Proposed Project	5-14
	5.5.3		5-16
5.6		vsis Alternatives Consistent with the San Diego County	- 40
		ral Plan Update	5-16
	5.6.1	Description and Setting	5-17
	5.6.2	Comparison of the Effects of the General Plan Update Draft	
		Land Use Map (Development Consistent with the San Diego	- 4-
		County General Plan Update) Alternative to the Proposed Project	5-17
	5.6.3	Conclusion	5-19
5.7		ral Plan Update Referral Map Alternative (Development istent with the San Diego County General Plan Update)	5-20
	5.7.1	Description and Setting	5-20 5-20
	5.7.2		0 20
	0.7.2	Referral Map Alternative (Development Consistent with the	
		San Diego County General Plan Update)	5-20
	5.7.3	Conclusion	5-20 5-22
5.8		natives Associated with Horse Ranch Creek Road	5-22 5-23
5.5	5.8.1	Raised Elevation of Horse Ranch Creek Road Alternative	5-23
	_	Western Alignment for Horse Ranch Creek Road Alternative	5-25

	5.8.3 Reconfigured Alignment of Horse Ranch Creek Road Alternative	5-28
	5.8.4 Matrix of Impacts	5-30
5.89	Environmentally Superior Alternative	5-31
СНА	PTER 6.0—LIST OF REFERENCES	6-1
СНА	PTER 7.0—LIST OF EIR PREPARERS AND PERSONS AND	
	ORGANIZATIONS CONTACTED	7-1
CHAF	PTER 8.0—LIST OF MITIGATION MEASURES AND ENVIRONMENTAL	
	DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS	8-1
8.1	Comprehensive List of Mitigation Measures	8-1
	8.1.1 Mitigation for Impacts to Aesthetics	8-1
	8.1.2 Mitigation for Impacts to Air Quality	8-1
	8.1.3 Mitigation for Impacts to Transportation/Traffic	8-2
	8.1.4 Mitigation for Impacts to Biological Resources	8-2
	8.1.5 Mitigation for Impacts to Agricultural Resources	8-11
	8.1.65 Mitigation for Impacts to Geology and Soils	8-14
	8.1.76 Mitigation for Impacts to Cultural Resources	8-15
	8.1.87 Mitigation for Impacts to Noise	8-17
	8.1.98 Mitigation for Impacts to Hazards/Hazardous Materials	8-18
8.2	Environmental Design Considerations/Conditions of Approval	
	Required to Ensure Implementation of Design Features	8-19
	8.2.1 Aesthetics	8-19
	8.2.2 Air Quality	8-19
	8.2.3 Transportation/Traffic	8-21
	8.2.4 Biological Resources	8-21
	8.2.5 Agricultural Resources	
	8.2.65 Geology and Soils	8-23
	8.2.7 <u>6</u> Noise	8-24
	8.2. 8 <u>7</u> Hazards	8-24
	8.2. 9 8 Hydrology and Water Quality	8-25
	8.2. 10 9 Public Services	8-25
	8.2.14 <u>0</u> Utilities	8-26
FIGU	RES	
1-1:	Proposed Land Use Plan	1-31
1-2:	Open Space Plan	1-32
1-3:	Trails Plan	1-33
1-4:	Circulation Plan	1-34
1-5: 1-6:	Potable Water Distribution System Wastewater Infrastructure	1-35
1-0.	vvasicwalci iiiiiasiiucluic	1-37

1-7:	Proposed Site layout for Wastewater Treatment Plant	1-39
1-8:	Recycled Water Infrastructure	1-40
1-9:	Conceptual Landscaping Plan	1-41
1-10:	Proposed Grading Plan/Vesting Tentative Map	1-43
1-11:	Phasing Plan for Grading	1-45
1-12:	Water Districts	1-46
1-13:	Water Infrastructure Options	1-47
1-14:	Water Infrastructure – Rainbow MWD	1-48
1-15:	Regional Location	1-49
1-16:	Project Location on USGS Map	1-50
1-17:	Project Location and Surrounding Area	1-51
1-18:	Project Vicinity	1-52
1-19:	Cumulative Projects	1-53
2.1-1:	Steep Slopes	2.1-21
2.1-2:	Manufactured Slope Heights	2.1-22
2.1-3:	Cross Section Key Map	2.1-23
2.1-4:	Cross Sections A & B	2.1-24
2.1-5:	Cross Sections C & D	2.1-25
2.1-6:	Cross Sections E & F	2.1-26
2.1-7:	RPO Encroachment	2.1-27
2.1-8:	Key Observation Points and Simulation Locations	2.1-28
2.1-9:	Key Observation Points 1 & 2	2.1-29
2.1-10:	Key Observation Points 3 & 4	2.1-30
2.1-11:	Key Observation Points 5 & 6	2.1-31
2.1-12:	Key Observation Points 7 & 8	2.1-32
2.1-13:	Key Observation Points 9 & 10	2.1-33
2.1-14:	Key Observation Points 11 & 12	2.1-34
2.1-15:	Key Observation Points 13 & 14	2.1-35
2.1-16:	Key Observation Points 15 & 16	2.1-36
2.1-17:	Key Observation Points 17 & 18	2.1-37
2.1-18:	Key Observation Points 19 & 20	2.1-38
2.1-19:	Key Observation Point 21	2.1-39
2.1-20:	KOP 15 Photosimulation – View from Southwest of the Project Site at I-15/SR-76	2.1-40
2.1-21:	KOP 14 Photosimulation – View from Southwest of the Project Site	
	at SR-76 Off-ramp from I-15	2.1-41
2.1-22:	KOP 11 Photosimulation – View from West of the Project Site	
	on Old Highway 395, West of I-15	2.1-42
2.1-23:	KOP 12 Photosimulation – View from Old Highway 395,	
	West of the Project Site	2.1-43
2.1-24:	KOP 13 Photosimulation – View from West of the Project Site	
	West of I-15	2.1-44
2.1-25:	KOP 2 Photosimulation – View from I-15, South of the Project Site	2.1-45
2.1-26:	KOP 5 Photosimulation – View from Northwest of the Project Site,	
	West Shoulder of Southbound I-15	2.1-46
2.1-27:	KOP 8 Photosimulation – View from Northwest of the Project Site,	
	West Shoulder of I-15	
2.1-28:	Cumulative Projects in the Viewshed	2.1-47
2.2-1:	Link and Receptor Network for a Single Intersection with Dedicated	
	Left Turn Lanes	2.2-31
2.3-1:	Traffic Study Area	2.3-17

2.3-2:	Existing Roadway Conditions	2.3-18
2.3-3:	Existing Traffic Volumes	2.3-19
2.3-4:	Long-Term Residential Distribution	2.3-20
2.3-5:	Long-Term Residential Assignment	2.3-21
2.3-6:	Long-Term Residential, School, and Park Assignment	2.3-22
2.3-7:	Existing + Project Roadway Conditions	2.3-23
2.3-8:	Existing + Project Volumes	2.3-24
2.3-9:	Cumulative Project Volumes	2.3-25
2.3-10:	Near-Term (Existing + Project) Volumes	2.3-26
2.3-11:	Near-Term (Existing + Project) Planned Roadway Improvements	2.3-27
2.3-12:	Near-Term (Existing + Cumulative) + Project Volumes	2.3-28
2.3-13:	Horizon Year (2030) + Project Volumes	2.3-29
3.1-1:	Biological Resources	3.1-57
3.1-2:	Draft North County MSCP	3.1-59
3.1-3:	Federally Listed Species in Project Vicinity	3.1-61
3.1-4:	Critical Habitat in Project Vicinity	3.1-62
3.1-5:	Special Status Species in Project Vicinity	3.1-63
3.1-6:	Wildlife Corridors	3.1-64
	Project Impacts	3.1-65
	Project Impacts	3.1-67
	Project Impacts Project Impacts	3.1-69
3.1-7c. 3.1-8:	Cumulative Project	3.1-03
	Existing Land Use and Zoning	3.1-7 1 3.2-14
	Surrounding Land Use	3.2-15
3 2-2·	CDC Important Farmland Mapping	3.2-16
3.2-1:	Geology	3.2-9
3.2-2:	Boulders with Rockfall Potential (North)	3.2-11
3.2-3:	Boulders with Rockfall Potential (South)	3.2-12
3.4-1:	Aerial Photograph of Project and Noise Measurement Locations	3.4-13
3.4-1. 3.4-2:	Flat-Site Roadway Noise Contours	3.4-14
3.4-2. 3.4-3:	Future Projected Noise Contours without Mitigation	3.4-15
3.4-3. 3.4-4:	Modeled Receivers and Noise Barrier Locations	3.4-17
3.4-4. 3.4-5:	Rosemary's Mountain Rock Quarry Noise Contours	3.4-17
3.4-5. 3.4-6:	Proposed Noise Barriers with Construction of Campus Park Project	3.4-18
3.4-0. 3.5-1:	Fire Protection Districts	3.5-19
3.5-1. 3.5-2:	Dam Inundation Zone	3.5-18
3.5-2. 3.5-3:	Recognized Environmental Conditions (REC)	3.5-20
3.5-3. 3.5-4:	Historical Wildfire Boundaries	3.5-22
3.5- 4 . 3.5-5:	Fire Protection Plan	3.5-23
4.1-1:		3.5-23 4-11
4.1-1. 4.1-2:	Existing and Proposed Regional Category Existing and Proposed Land Use Designations	4-11
4.1-2. 4.1-3:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4-12
	Existing and Proposed Zoning	
4.2-1:	Drainage Features	4-25
4.3-1:	School Site Boundary	4-35
4.5-1:	Mineral Resources Within and Surrounding the Proposed Project	4-45
4.7-1:	Existing Land Use and Zoning	4-85
4.7-2:	Surrounding Land Uses CDC Important Formland Manning	4-87
<u>4.7-3:</u> 5-1:	CDC Important Farmland Mapping Alternative #1 No Development	4-89
5-1: 5-2:	Alternative #1 No Development	5-33
	Alternative #2 Existing General Plan	5-34 5-35
5-3:	Alternative #3 Groundwater Dependent	5-35

5-4:	Alternative #4 Reduced Grading	5-36
5-5:	Alternative #5 General Plan Update Draft Land Use Map	5-37
5-6:	Alternative #6 General Plan Update Referral Map	5-38
T451 F		
TABLES)	
S-1:	Summary of Significant Effects and Mitigation Measures to Reduce	
•	the Effects	S-12
1-1:	Land Use Summary	1-3
1-2:	Development Phasing Strategy for Traffic Improvements	1-5
1-3:	Water Facility Components Inventory	1-55
1-4a:	Wastewater Facility Components Inventory	1-57
1-4b:	Recycled Water Facility Components Inventory	1-58
1-5:	Summary of Additional Project Design Considerations	1-59
1-6:	Matrix of Project Approvals	1-22
1-7:	Cumulative Projects	1-67
2.1-1	Project's Conformance with I-15 Corridor Scenic	
	Preservation Guidelines	2.1-49
2.2-1:	Ambient Air Quality Standards	2.2-32
2.2-2:	Ambient Air Quality Standard – San Diego Air Basin	2.2-34
2.2-3:	Summary of Air Quality Measurements Recorded at the	0
	Escondido East Valley Parkway Monitoring Station	2.2-35
2.2-4:	Global Warming Potentials (GWP) and Atmospheric Lifetimes (Years)	2.2 00
	Used in the Inventory	2.2-36
2.2-5:	Screening-Level Criteria for Air Quality Impact Analysis	2.2-36
2.2-6:	Construction Parameters	2.2-37
2.2-7:	Summary of Worst-Case Construction Emissions without Mitigation	2.2-38
2.2-8:	Project (Year 2025) Average Daily Emissions	2.2 00
	to the San Diego Air Basin	2.2-39
2.2-9:	Traffic Related CO Concentrations	2.2-40
2.2-10:	GHG Emission Factors	2.2-20
2.2-11	Summary of BAU and Proposed Project GHG Emissions	2.2-25
2.3-1:	Existing Intersection Level of Service	2.3-31
2.3-2A:	Existing Segment ADT Volumes and Levels of Service	2.3-32
2.3-2B:	Existing State Route Volumes and Level of Service	2.0 02
2.0 22.	(with Granite Improvement)	2.3-32
2.3-3:	Existing Freeway Volumes and Level of Service	2.3-33
2.3-4:	Project Trip Generation	2.3-33
2.3-5:	Existing + Project Intersection Level of Service	2.3-34
2.3-6A:	Existing + Project Segment ADT Volumes and Level of Service	2.3-35
2.3-6B:	Existing + Project State Route Volumes and Level of Service (AM/PM)	2.3-35
2.3-7:	Existing + Project Freeway Volumes and Level of Service	2.3-36
2.3-8:	Cumulative Projects	2.3-37
2.3-9:	Existing + Cumulative Intersection Level of Service	2.3-46
	: Existing + Cumulative Intersection Level of Service	2.3-47
	: Existing + Cumulative State Route Volumes and Level of Service	2.3-47
2.3-105	Existing + Cumulative State Route volumes and Level of Service	2.3-48
2.3-11:	Existing + Cumulative + Project Intersection Level of Service	2.3-49
	: Existing + Cumulative + Project Segment ADT Volumes and	2.0-43
2.0°10A	Level of Service	2.3-50
	ECTO, OI COIVIO	ان⊸ن.

2.3-13B:	Existing + Cumulative + Project State Route Volumes and	
	Level of Service (AM/PM)	2.3-50
2.3-14:	Existing + Cumulative + Project Freeway Volumes and Level of Service	2.3-51
	Horizon Year (2030) Intersection Level of Service	2.3-51
2.3-16A:	Horizon Year (2030) Segment ADT Volumes and Level of Service	2.3-53
2.3-16B:	Horizon Year (2030) State Route Volumes and Level of Service	
	(Limits Based on 50 Peak Hour Trips)	2.3-53
2.3-17:	Horizon Year (2030) Freeway Volumes and Level of Service	2.3-54
2.3-18:	Horizon Year (2030) + Project Intersection Level of Service	2.3-55
2.3-19A:	Horizon Year (2030) + Project Segment ADT Volumes and	
	Level of Service	2.3-56
2.3-19B:	Horizon Year (2030) + Project State Route Volumes and	
	Level of Service (AM/PM)	2.3-56
2.3-20:	Horizon Year (2030) + Project Freeway Volumes and Level of Service	2.3-57
2.3-21:	Impact Summary Table	2.3-58
2.3-22:	Summary of Direct and Cumulative Impacts, Project Features,	
	and Other Improvements	2.3-59
3.1-1:	On-Site Vegetation Communities	3.1-73
3.1-2:	Approximate Acreage of On-Site Vegetation Community Impacts	3.1-73
3.1-3:	Permanent Off-Site Vegetation Community Impacts	3.1-74
3.1-4:	Temporary Off-Site Vegetation CommunityImpacts	3.1-75
3.1-5:	Project impacts to Vegetation Communities and Required Mitigation	3.1-76
3.1-6:	Summary of Impacts to Jurisdictional Wetlands	3.1-77
3.1-7:	Cumulative Projects Impact Analysis	3.1-78
3.2-1:	Acres of FMMP Farmland On-site and as a Percent of the	
	Entire Project Area	3.2- 4
	Final LESA Scoresheet	3.2- 6
3. 4 3-1:	Recorded Archaeological Sites within a One-Mile Radius of the	
	Project Area	3.3-4
3. 54 -1:	Short-Term Measurement Results	3.4-21
3. 5 4-2:	Measurement 4 Hourly Average Noise Levels	3.4-22
3. 5 4-3:	Flat-Site Roadway Contour Distances	3.4-23
3. 5 4-4:	Projected Traffic Noise Levels	3.4-24
3. 5 4-5:	Lot and Barrier Elevations	3.4-27
3. 5 4-6:	Measured Noise Levels of Common Construction Equipment	3.4-32
3. 5 4-7:	Traffic and Noise Increases to Off-Site Roadways	3.4-33
3. 6 5-1:	Emergency Services Travel Times	3.5-6
4.2-1:	Summary of Pre- and Post-Hydrologic Analyses for Meadowood	4-21
4.3-1:	Student Generation Rates for School Districts Serving the	
	Proposed Project	4-27
4.6-1:	Metropolitan Water Supply Capability and Projected Demands	
	(Normal Year)	4-67
4.6-2:	SDCWA Water Supply Capability and Projected Demands (Normal Year)	4-67
4.6-3:	California Urban Water Conservation Best Management Practices	
	and SDCWA Conservation Programs	4-68
4.6-4:	Supply and Demand Analysis for Fiscal Year 2010	4-70
4.6-5:	Summary of Water Demands and Water Deliverables	4-71
<u>4.7-1:</u>	Acres of FMMP Farmland On-site and as a Percent of the	. —
	Entire Project Area	4-77
<u>4.7-2:</u>	Final LESA Scoresheet	4-79
5-1:	Comparison Summary of Alternatives and the Proposed Project	5-39

5-2:	Comparison of Operational Emissions for the Proposed Project	
	and General Plan Update Land Use Alternative	5-42
5-3	Comparison of Operational Emissions for the Proposed Project and	
	General Plan Update Land Use Alternative	5-43
5-4	Comparison of Horse Ranch Creek Road Alternative Impacts to	
	Proposed Project Impacts	<u>5-31</u>
TECHN	IICAL APPENDIXES	
A:	Initial Study	
B:	NOP and Comment Letters	
C-1:	Visual Impact Assessment	
C-2:	Community Design Guidelines for the Meadowood Specific Plan Area	
D-1:	Air Quality Report	
D-2:	Global Climate Change Analysis	
E:	Traffic Impact Analysis	
F-1:	Biological Resources Assessment	
F-2:	Jurisdictional Delineation Report	
F-3:	Resource Management Plan	
F-4:	Wetland Mitigation Plan	
G:	Agricultural Report	
H <u>G</u> -1:	Geology Report	
<u> </u>	Geology Report – Addendum and Memo	
G-3:	Response to Comments for Rockfall Potential	
<u> </u>	Cultural Resources Report	
<u>l</u> J:	Noise Report	
K <u>J</u> -1:	Phase I and Limited Phase II Environmental Site Assessment	
<u>J</u> K-2:	Fire Protection Plan	
<u>J-3:</u>	Project Facility Availability Form, Fire	
<u>K</u> Ŀ:	San Diego County General Plan Compliance Report for the Meadowood	
1	Project	
<u>L</u> M-1:	Stormwater Management Plan	
<u>L</u> M-2:	Drainage Study	
<u>L</u> M-3:	Hydromodification Management Study	
<u>M</u> N:	Mineral Resources	
<u>N</u> O-1:	Water Study Report	
<u>N</u> O-2:	Water Supply Assessment and Verification Report	
<u>N</u> O-3:	Wastewater Service Alternative Analysis	
<u>O:</u>	Agricultural Report	

This page is intentionally blank.